

characteristics is given in Weir's paper. Finally, the entropy distribution is given by  $s = c_v \log y + \text{const.}$

### References

- <sup>1</sup> Martin, M., "A new approach to problems in two dimensional flow," *Quart. Appl. Math.* 8, 137-150 (1950).
- <sup>2</sup> Von Mises, R., *Mathematical Theory of Compressible Fluid Flow* (Academic Press Inc., New York, 1958), p. 231.
- <sup>3</sup> Weir, D., "A family of exact solutions of one-dimensional anisotropic flow," *Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* 57, 890-894 (October 1961).
- <sup>4</sup> Friedrichs, K., "Nonlinear wave motion in magnetohydrodynamics," Los Alamos Rept. 2105 (1957).

## Final-Stage Decay of a Single Line Vortex

H. S. TAN\*

*Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago, Ill.*

AND

S. C. LING†

*Therm Inc., Ithaca, N. Y.*

### I. Vortex Generation and Decay

THE generation of a circulatory flow field by a vortex core of radius  $r_0$  was studied by Goldstein.<sup>1</sup> The differential system governing the fluid motion is

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \nu \left( \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} - \frac{v}{r^2} \right) \quad (1)$$

$$t < 0: \quad v \equiv 0$$

$$t > 0: \quad r = r_0, v = v_0; \quad r = \infty, v = 0$$

and has the solution

$$v = \frac{v_0 r_0}{r} + \frac{2v_0}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \exp(-\nu t x^2) \frac{J_1(xr)Y_1(xr_0) - Y_1(xr)J_1(xr_0)}{J_1^2(xr_0) + Y_1^2(xr_0)} \frac{dx}{x} \quad (2)$$

The limiting case of a vanishing core radius but finite centerline circulation  $\Gamma_0$  was shown by Rouse and Hsu<sup>2</sup> to reduce to following form:

$$v = (\Gamma_0/2\pi r) \exp(-r^2/4\nu t) \quad (3)$$

This corresponds to the vorticity diffusion from a line vortex of strength  $\Gamma_0$ , i.e.,<sup>3</sup>

$$\zeta = (\Gamma_0/4\pi\nu t) \exp(-r^2/4\nu t) \quad (4)$$

Thus the generation of a circulatory flow field by a vortex core is through vorticity diffusion, and, with finite time of generation, the velocity field so generated has limited extent. Moreover, such a velocity field is never and nowhere free of vorticity. Indeed, a potential flow never can be generated by a shear mechanism alone in finite time; it only represents a limit solution for  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus, as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , Eq. (3) describes a potential flow.

The spatial growth of velocity field and the time decay of kinetic energy of a viscous vortex after a generation period  $t_0$  can be studied simply by introducing, at  $t = t_0$ , an anti-

circulation along the centerline. By simple superposition, one readily can write down the following result:

$$t = t_0 + t_d$$

$$v = \frac{\Gamma_0}{2\pi r} \left[ \exp \frac{-r^2}{4\nu(t_0 + t_d)} - \exp \frac{-r^2}{4\nu t_d} \right] \quad (5)$$

This is indeed nothing else but the solution to the well-known Rayleigh "start-then-stop" problem for a circulatory flow field. The catching-up process between these two outward-propagating, oppositely orientated velocity fields results in a gradual annihilation of the momentum and a continuous decay of the kinetic energy of the flow field.

Equation (5) describes the velocity field of a viscous vortex at time  $t_d$  after its generation. It is clear that this flow field has not only limited spatial extent but also finite energy content.

Writing  $A = \Gamma_0$ ,  $a = 4\nu t_d$ ,  $k = 1 + t_0/t_d$ , Eq. (5) can be put in the form

$$v = (A/2\pi r) [\exp(-r^2/ak) - \exp(-r^2/a)] \quad (6)$$

It is easy to see that constants  $A$ ,  $a$ ,  $k$  can be used to define an initial vortex field, provided that  $k$  is not taken too close to unity. The parameter  $k$  indeed fixes the age of the initial vortex field; for  $t_d/t_0 \rightarrow 0$ ,  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , and for  $t_d/t_0 \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $k \rightarrow 1$ .

$A$ ,  $a$ ,  $k$  can be related further to the measurable field characteristics  $r_0$ ,  $\Gamma_0$ ,  $\zeta_0$  as follows: defining  $r_0$  as the initial radius of maximum circulation  $\Gamma_0$  ( $\Gamma = 2\pi r v$ ), i.e., for

$$\frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial r} = \frac{2Ar}{a} \left( \exp \frac{-r^2}{a} - \frac{1}{k} \exp \frac{-r^2}{ka} \right) = 0$$

one has

$$r_0 = \{ [ka/(k-1)] \ln k \}^{1/2} \quad (7)$$

$$\Gamma_0 = A(k-1)k^{k/(1-k)} \quad (8)$$

Now, vorticity is given by

$$\zeta = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (vr) = \frac{A}{\pi ka} \left( k \exp \frac{-r^2}{a} - \exp \frac{-r^2}{ka} \right)$$

so, putting  $r = 0$ , one has for the centerline vorticity

$$\zeta_0 = (A/\pi ka)(k-1) \quad (9)$$

For a particular set of  $A$ ,  $a$ ,  $k$  (or initial values  $r_0, \zeta_0, \Gamma_0$ ), the subsequent velocity field now can be put in the form

$$v = \frac{A}{2\pi r} \left( \exp \frac{-r^2}{ak + 4\nu t} - \exp \frac{-r^2}{a + 4\nu t} \right) \quad (10)$$

The vortex-core radius  $r_c$  ( $r = r_c$ ,  $\partial \Gamma / \partial r = 0$ ) readily can be obtained as follows:<sup>2</sup>

$$r_c^2 = a \frac{(k + 4\nu t/a)(1 + 4\nu t/a)}{k - 1} \ln \frac{k + 4\nu t/a}{1 + 4\nu t/a} \quad (11)$$

The kinetic energy per unit length of vortex is given by an integral:

$$E = \pi \rho \int_0^\infty v^2 r dr = \frac{\rho A^2}{8\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{r^2} \left( \exp \frac{-r^2}{ka + 4\nu t} - \exp \frac{-r^2}{a + 4\nu t} \right)^2 2r dr$$

Upon introducing the identity

$$\int_0^\infty [\exp(-px) - \exp(-qx)]^2 \frac{dx}{x} = \ln \frac{p+q}{2p} + \ln \frac{p+q}{2q}$$

one obtains<sup>2</sup>

$$E = \frac{\rho A^2}{8\pi} \ln \frac{[1 + (k + 4\nu t/a)/(1 + 4\nu t/a)]^2}{4(k + 4\nu t/a)/(1 + 4\nu t/a)} \quad (12)$$

Received December 26, 1962.

\* Professor of Mechanics. Member AIAA.

† Head, Experimental Section, Therm Advanced Research.

## II. Final-Stage Decay Law

When  $4\nu t/a = t/t_a \gg 1$ , and  $k$  is not close to 1 [i.e.,  $t_a/t_g \sim 0(1)$ ], asymptotic expansions of Eqs. (11) and (12) for large  $t$  lead to the following result. Putting  $a/4\nu t = \beta$ ,  $ka/4\nu t = \alpha$ , and  $\alpha = k\beta$ , one obtains, from Eq. (11)

$$r_c^2 = \frac{a}{\beta} \frac{(1+\alpha)(1+\beta)}{(\alpha-\beta)} \ln\left(\frac{1+\alpha}{1+\beta}\right) = \frac{a}{\beta} \left[ 1 + (k+1) \frac{\beta}{2} + \dots \right]$$

i.e.,

$$r_c = (4\nu t)^{1/2} \quad (13)$$

From Eq. (12), one obtains

$$E = \frac{\rho A^2}{8\pi} \left[ 2 \ln\left(1 + \frac{1+\alpha}{1+\beta}\right) - \ln\left(\frac{1+\alpha}{1+\beta}\right) - \ln 4 \right]$$

i.e.,

$$E = \frac{\rho A^2}{32\pi} (k-1)^2 \left(\frac{a}{4\nu t}\right)^2 \quad (14)$$

Expressions (13) and (14) constitute the two asymptotic laws that govern the final-stage vortex-core growth and kinetic-energy decay, i.e., "the radius of the vortex core grows in proportion to the square root of the decay time; the content of kinetic energy decays according to the inverse square of the decay time."

It might be of interest to note that the vortex-core radius  $r_c$  in Eq. (13) coincides with Taylor's dissipation eddy scale  $\lambda$  of a homogenous turbulence field, although they certainly follow different decay laws. That  $\lambda$  must correspond to a  $(-\frac{5}{2})$ -power energy-decay law is evident from the dissipation equation

$$\partial u'^2/\partial t = -10\nu u'^2/\lambda^2 \quad (15)$$

The significance of the coincidence, however, will be discussed in a future report.

The inverse-square law for final-stage decay of a viscous vortex [Eq. (14)] follows strictly from the limited time of generation and finite amount of field-energy content. It is not difficult to show that this decay law differs essentially from the decay law corresponding to Lamb's model of viscous decay of a potential vortex field. Indeed, for Lamb's model, the following result was obtained:<sup>3</sup>

$$v = (\Gamma_0/2\pi r) [1 - \exp(-r^2/4\nu t)] \quad (16)$$

Comparing Eqs. (16) and (5), it is at once clear that Lamb's model of vortex decay implies infinite generation time and infinite flow-field kinetic energy.

It might not be out of place at this point to remark that the present single-vortex final-stage energy-decay law, i.e., Eq. (14), played an essential role in the formulation of a recent theory on the final-stage decay of grid-produced turbulence.<sup>4,5</sup>

## References

- Goldstein, S., "Some two-dimensional diffusion problems with circular symmetry," *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **34**, Ser. 2, 51 (1932).
- Rouse, H. and Hsu, H. C., "On the growth and decay of a vortex filament," *Proceedings of the First U. S. National Congress of Applied Mechanics* (American Society of Mechanical Engineers, New York, 1950), p. 741.
- Lamb, H., *Hydrodynamics* (Dover Publications Inc., New York, 1945), p. 592.
- Batchelor, G. K. and Townsend, A. A., "Decay of turbulence in the final period," *Proc. Roy. Soc. (London)* **A194**, 527 (1948).
- Tan, H. S. and Ling, S. C., "Final stage decay of grid produced turbulence," TAR-TR 628, Therm Inc. (1962); also *J. Phys. Fluids* (to be published).

## Free Vibration of Rectangular and Circular Orthotropic Plates

N. R. RAJAPPA\*

Indian Institute of Technology, Madras, India

### Nomenclature

$A_1, A_2, A_3$	= constants
$A_4, A_5$	= dimensions of plate
$a, b$	= flexural rigidities of orthotropic plate
$D_x, D_y$	= radius of circular plate
$c$	= flexural rigidity of isotropic plate
$D$	= torsional rigidity of orthotropic plate
$H$	= plate thickness
$h$	= damping coefficient
$k$	= polar coordinates
$r, \theta$	= time
$t$	= deflection of plate
$w(x, y, t)$	= rectangular coordinates
$x, y$	= circular frequency
$\omega$	= mass density of plate material
$\rho$	= Poisson's ratio
$\nu$	= $(D_x \partial^4 / \partial x^4) + (2H \partial^4 / \partial x^2 \partial y^2) + (D_y \partial^4 / \partial y^4)$
$\nabla_0^4$	= $D_x \psi_{,rrrr} + 2H[(2/r^3)\psi_{,r} - (2/r^2)\psi_{,rr} + (1/r)\psi_{,rrr}] + D_y[-(3/r^3)\psi_{,r} + (3/r^2)\psi_{,rr}]$

### Subscripts

$t, tt, r, rr$ , etc. = derivatives with respect to  $t$  and  $r$ , respectively

THE frequencies of the fundamental normal modes of free vibration for rectangular and circular orthotropic plates are obtained by using the Galerkin method as formulated by Stanišić.<sup>1</sup> The results are compared with values obtained by other methods. Although vibration analysis of rectangular orthotropic plates has been considered by many writers,<sup>2,3</sup> the case of circular orthotropic plates has not received much attention.

### Method of Solution

Assuming the classical small-deflection theory, neglecting rotatory inertia, and taking the damping forces to be proportional to the velocity, the motion of the orthotropic plate is governed by the following differential equation:

$$\nabla_0^4 w + kw_{,t} + \rho h w_{,tt} = 0 \quad (1)$$

The solution for  $w$  can be taken as

$$w(x, y, t) = f(x, y) \phi(t) \quad (2)$$

where  $f(x, y)$  is the characteristic function chosen to satisfy the boundary conditions. So

$$\delta w = f(x, y) \delta \phi(t) \quad (3)$$

For a virtual displacement  $\delta w$ , the criterion according to Galerkin can be stated as

$$\iint L(w) \delta w \, dx \, dy = 0 \quad (4)$$

where  $L(w)$  stands for the expression on left side of Eq. (1), and the double integral is taken over the area of the plate.

Taking

$$\phi(t) = e^{-\alpha t} \cos \omega t \quad (5)$$

and substituting Eqs. (2) and (3) in Eq. (1) gives, for all  $t$ ,

$$\nabla_0^4 f(x, y) - \lambda^2 f(x, y) = 0 \quad (6)$$

where  $\lambda^2$  is given by

$$\omega^2 = (\lambda^2 / \rho h) - (k / 2 \rho h)^2 \quad (7)$$

Received January 10, 1963.

\* Lecturer in Applied Mechanics, Department of Applied Mechanics.